

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

		•	. www.uspto.gov	
O P E APPLICATION	ON NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/652,6	548 08/31/2000	W. Frank Little JR.	LIT03	3250
2 JUL 1 5 2005 EL 7590 04/13/2002				
Alton	W Payne Esq		EXAMINER	
Payne Suite I 800 W			HORTON, YVONNE MICHELE	
	on, TX 77042		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
			DATE MAILED: 04/13/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED
JUL 2 5 2005

GROUP 3600



UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE WASHINGTON, DC 20231

WWW.uspio.gov

Paper No.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

. / /	ant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)
requirements of 37 CFK 1.121, as affigured on Sentem	_is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the ber 8, 2000 (see 65 Fed. Reg. 54603, Sept. 8, 2000, and 1238 O.G. 7, mpliant, applicant must supply the following omissions or correction
THE FOLLOWING ITEMS ARE REQUIRED FOR CO SUBMIT THE ENTIRE AMENDMENT):	MPLIANCE WITH RULE 1.121 (APPLICANT NEED NOT RE-
1. A clean version of the replacement paragraph	ph(s)/section(s) is required. See 37 CFR 1.121(b)(1)(ii).
☐ 2. A marked-up version of the replacement pa	aragraph(s)/section(s) is required. See 37 CFR 1.121(b)(1)(iii).
3. A clean version of the amended claim(s) is	required. See 37 CFR 1.121(c)(1)(i).
4. A marked-up version of the amended claim Explanation: Clean Copy	(s) is required. See 37 CFR 1.121(c)(1)(ii).
(LIE: Please provide specific details for correction to assist the apple For further explanation of the amendment format required http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/olia/pbg/saformat is attached.	uired by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website at ampleaf.pdf. A condensed version of a sample amendment
amendment in compliance with revised 37 CF letter, examination on the merits may comme. This notice is not an action under 35 U.S.C. 13 AMENDMENT AFTER NON-FINAL ACT applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of ONE MO	pplicant supplies the omission or correction to the preliminary R 1.121 noted above within ONE MONTH of the mail date of this note without entry of the originally proposed preliminary amendment 12, and this ONE MONTH time limit is not extendable. ION: Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, ONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing of this notice, whichever is
EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY	n or correction noted above in order to avoid abandonment
m. Jons	
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE)	RECEIVED
(Rev. 12/01)	JUL 2 5 2005
	GROUD 2000

MPEP

BOOKMAR

Changes to the Patent Rules

October 20, 2000

Volume 1, Issue 3

This is the third in a series of Patent News Bulletins to assist you in keeping up to date with significant rule changes which affect your area.

Keeping to be use as a bookmark for your present MPEP, or view this bulletin again on the USPTO Website.

5 2005 y

Simplified Amendment Practice.

Replacement paragraphs/sections/claims to be used. 37 CFR 1.121

The rule package
"Changes to the Patent
Business Goals - Final
Rule," published in the
Federal Register on
September 8, 2000, 65
Fed. Reg. 54603 (Sept.
8, 2000), and the Official
Gazette on

September 19, 2000, 1238 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 77 (September-19,-2000). The PBG rule package makes a number of revisions to Title 37.

The entire final rule may be found at the USPTO Website at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/olia/pbg/index.html.

Areas and individuals
primarily affected by this
rule change include:
(1)Patent Examiners and
Tech Support Staff in the
Technology Centers
(2) Office of Patent
Publication

Any questions related to this change in practice should be directed to Joe Narcavage, Special Projects Exr., (703-305-1795) or Liz. Dougherty, Legal Advisor, (703-306-3156) OPLA.

Mandatory compliance with the revised rule is not required until March 1, 2001. It is suggested that applicants adopt the revised procedures on or after November 7, 2000, in order to adjust to the changes in amendment practice.

Under the new amendment practice, amendments to the specification must be made by the submission of clean new or replacement paragraph(s), section(s), specification, or claim(s). This practice will provide a specification (including claims) in clean, or substantially clean, form that can be effectively captured and converted by optical character recognition (OCR) scanning during the patent printing process.

The new practice requires applicant to provide, in addition to the clean version of a replacement paragraph/section/claim, a marked-up version using applicant's choice of a conventional

marking system to indicate the changes, which will aid the examiner in identifying the changes that have been made. The marked-up version must be based on the previous version and indicate (by markings) how the previous version has been modified to produce the clean version submitted in the current amendment. The term "previous version" means the version of record in the application as originally filed or from a previously entered amendment.

The following format is suggested in an amendment paper: (1) a clean version of each replacement paragraph/section/claim with clear instructions for entry; (2) starting on a separate page, any remarks/arguments (37 CFR 1.111); and (3) starting on a separate page, a marked-up

version entitled "Version with markings to show changes made."

Applicants will also be able to submit a clean set of all pending claims, consolidating all previous versions of pending claims from a series of separate amendments into a single clean version in a single amendment paper. This submission of a clean version of all of the pending claims will be construed as directing the cancellation of all previous versions of any pending claims. No marked-up version will be required to accompany the clean version where no changes other than the consolidation are being made.

The amended rule encourages issuance of applications with an examiner's amendment without practitioners/applicants having to file a formal amendment. Additions or deletions of subject matter in the specification, including the claims, may continue to be

made in an examiner's amendment at the time of allowance by instructions to make any change at a precise location in the specification or the claims. An examiner's amendment may incorporate a printed copy of a fax or email amendment submitted by applicant. Only that part of the e-mail or fax directed to a clean version, or a portion of, a paragraph/claim to be added should be printed and attached to the examiner's amendment, with a paper copy of the entire e-mail or fax being entered in the file. The electronic version of the e-mail is not required to be saved once the printed e-mail (and any attachments) becomes part of the application file record.

Amendment by,

paragraph/claim

replacement in clean form.

公

PRECEIVED 714+ & 1302.04